



## Robust and Flexible Wavelength Division Multiplexed Optical Access Networks

Wagner, Christoph; Eiselt, Michael; Grobe, Klaus; Tafur Monroy, Idelfonso ; Vegas Olmos, Juan José

*Publication date:*  
2015

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

*Citation (APA):*  
Wagner, C., Eiselt, M., Grobe, K., Tafur Monroy, I., & Vegas Olmos, J. J. (2015). *Robust and Flexible Wavelength Division Multiplexed Optical Access Networks*. Poster session presented at Big Data Photonics 2015, Los Angeles, United States.

---

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





# Robust and Flexible Wavelength Division Multiplexed Optical Access Networks

C. Wagner<sup>(1,2)</sup>, M. Eiselt<sup>(2)</sup>, K. Grobe<sup>(3)</sup>, I. Tafur Monroy<sup>(1)</sup>, J. J. Vegas Olmos<sup>(1)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> DTU Fotonik, Technical University of Denmark (DTU), 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

<sup>(2)</sup> ADVA Optical Networking, 98617 Meiningen-Dreissigacker, Germany

<sup>(3)</sup> ADVA Optical Networking, 82152 Martinsried/Munich, Germany



chwag@fotonik.dtu.dk

## Motivation

Future wavelength division multiplexed (WDM) access networks should be as flexible as possible. One **flexibility** is port wavelength-agnosticism at the optical network unit (ONU) interface, achieved via tunable laser. At the same time such systems need to be **robust** against crosstalk impairments during tuning process.

## Flexible WDM System




Figure 1: Port-agnostic WDM system. OLT: optical line terminal, AWG: arrayed waveguide grating, FPGA: field programmable gate array, ODN: optical distribution network, ONU: optical network unit, PD: photodiode, DBR: distributed Bragg resonator, MZM: Mach-Zehnder-Modulator, PT: pilot tone

## Filter characteristic and crosstalk impairments

Crosstalk impact is determined by the signal-to-interferer ratio (SIR).

- 80km differential path length ~ differential loss of 22dB
- Emission power difference ~ 4dB
- Filter isolation coherent ~ 30dB
- Filter isolation at half channel spacing ~ 12dB

Worst case coherent crosstalk: SIR = 4dB (parallel polarisation)

Worst case inter-channel crosstalk: SIR = -14dB




Figure 2: Filter isolation for inter-channel and coherent crosstalk

## Measurement setup




Figure 3: Measurement setup for coherent and incoherent crosstalk evaluation with and without pilot tone modulation. BER-T: bit error rate tester, SFP: small form factor pluggable, PC: polarization controller, MZM: Mach-Zehnder modulator, WL-Meter: Wavelength meter

## Impact of crosstalk




Figure 4: Penalty of different crosstalk impairments (a) Inter-channel crosstalk; (b) Coherent crosstalk; (c) inter-channel crosstalk with pilot tone modulation on interferer; (d) coherent crosstalk with pilot tone modulation

## Crosstalk mitigation for robust WDM systems

- Limiting differential path loss
- Limiting transmitter launch-power window
- Polarization control (scrambling)
- Power down during tuning
- Filter channel isolation

## Conclusion

Crosstalk can have a heavy impact on wavelength-agnostic WDM systems. Differential reach between ONUs determines mainly the BER performance of WDM system. Pilot tone modulation has almost no influence on BER performance. Crosstalk mitigation by reducing the differential reach to 40 km (11 dB differential loss) in combination with reduced launch power during tuning seems promising.

## Acknowledgement

This work has been partially funded by the Marie Curie project ABACUS and Marie Curie FENDOI.